

Cubanos Pa'lante et al. v. Florida House of Representatives et al.

Case No. 1:24-cv-21983

Direct Examination of Dr. Hannah Walker

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

January 2026

Dr. Hannah Walker: Qualifications



Education

- University of Washington, Ph.D. and Masters of Arts in Political Science
- Rutgers University, Masters of Public Policy
- Washington State University – Vancouver, Bachelor of Arts (Public Affairs)

Illustrative Experience



- 2023-Present: University of Texas at Austin, Associate Professor and Director of Research
- 2022: Co-Founder, Expanding Engagement Lab
- 2020-2023: University of Texas at Austin, Assistant Professor
- 2017-2020: Rutgers University, Assistant Professor

Dr. Hannah Walker: Research, Writing, and Awards

- Author of one book and 27 peer-reviewed articles
- Published in The Journal of Politics, Perspectives on Politics, and Political Research Quarterly
- Research awarded over \$1 million in funding
- Award-winning research:
 - 2020 Racial and Ethnic Politics Section Best Book Award
 - 2019 Latino Caucus Best Paper in Latino Politics from the American Political Science Association



Dr. Walker's Key Conclusions

1

Analysis shows weak evidence that Hispanic voters in benchmark HD 115, 118, & 119 and CD 25 vote cohesively.

2

White voters vote as a bloc, but their preferred candidate often aligns with Hispanic voters' preferred candidate.

3

The alternative maps for CD20 and CD24 maintain the ability of Black voters to elect their preferred candidate.

Dr. Walker's Key Conclusions

1

Analysis shows weak evidence that Hispanic voters in benchmark HD 115, 118, & 119 and CD 25 vote cohesively.


2

White voters vote as a bloc, but their preferred candidate often aligns with Hispanic voters' preferred candidate.

3

The alternative maps for CD20 and CD24 maintain the ability of Black voters to elect their preferred candidate.


Vote Cohesion Exists On A Spectrum




Walker Rep., ¶ 12

Raw Data of Hispanic Voting Patterns in Benchmark HD 115

Figure 15. Bivariate association between candidate support and percent of voters who are Hispanic in House District 115.



PLAINTIFFS TRIAL
EXHIBIT
P110
Case 1:24-cv-21983-JB



P110 [Walker Rep., Figure 15]

Vote Cohesion Analyzed Across Many Elections

Every statewide general election from 2012 to 2020

Endogenous elections from 2016 to 2020

Sources: Florida Legislature and Florida Division of Elections

List of Elections Analyzed for Benchmark HD 115, HD 118, HD 119, and CD 25

Contest	Cand 1	Cand 2	Pref'd Cand	Jurisdiction
President 2020	Trump*	Biden	R-Trump*	All
Governor 2018	DeSantis*	Gillum	R-DeSantis*	All
Atty. General 2018	Moody*	Shaw	R-Moody*	All
CFO 2018	Patronis*	Ring	R-Patronis*	All
Com. of Ag. 2018	Caldwell	Fried*	R-Caldwell	All
US Senate 2018	Scott*	Nelson	R-Scott*	All
President 2016	Trump*	Clinton	R-Trump*	All
US Senate 2016	Rubio*	Murphy	R-Rubio*	All
Governor 2014	Scott*	Crist	R-Scott*	All
Atty. General 2014	Bondi*	Sheldon	R-Bondi*	All
CFO 2014	Atwater*	Rankin	R-Atwater*	All
Com. of Ag. 2014	Putnam*	Hamilton	R-Putnam*	All
President 2012	Romney	Obama*	R-Romney	All
US Senate 2012	Mack	Nelson*	R-Mack	All
State House 2020	Aloupis*	Browne	R-Aloupis*	HD 115
State House 2018	Aloupis*	Solomon	R-Aloupis*	HD 115
State House 2016	Bileca*	Solomon	R-Bileca*	HD 115
State House 2020	Rodriguez*	Junquera	R-Rodriguez*	HD 118
State House 2018	Rodriguez*	Asencio	R-Rodriguez*	HD 118
State House 2016	Rivera	Asencio*	R-Rivera	HD 118
State House 2020	Fernandez-Barquin*	Mohammad	R-Fernandez-Barquin*	HD 119
State House 2018	Fernandez-Barquin*	Rassner	R-Fernandez-Barquin*	HD 119
State House 2016	Nunez*	Villanueva	R-Nunez*	HD 119
Congress 2018	Diaz-Balart*	Flores	R-Diaz-Balart*	CD 25
Congress 2016	Diaz-Balart*	Valdes	R-Diaz-Balart*	CD 25

Note: *Denotes winning candidate.

Walker Rep., Table 1

Dr. Walker Analyzed Benchmark House Districts 115, 118, and 119 and Benchmark Congressional District 25

D. Benchmark Districts


43. The following chart lists each Challenged District and the corresponding “benchmark” district in the prior (“benchmark”) redistricting plans against which diminishment in a protected minority group’s ability to elect its preferred candidates was to be measured under Florida’s non-diminishment provision. *See In re Senate Joint Resol. of Legis. Apportionment 1176*, 83 So. 3d 597, 625 (Fla. 2012).

District in 2022 Enacted Plans	Benchmark District in Benchmark Plan
CD 26	CD 25
HD 115	HD 115
HD 118	HD 118
HD 119	HD 119


ECF No. 172 [Pre-Trial Stipulation]

Analyzed Cohesion in Benchmark HD 115 Using Two Methods of Ecological Inference

Figure 16. Estimated Hispanic support for a given candidate in House District 115.




PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL
EXHIBIT
P111



P111 [Walker Rep., Figure 16]


Inconsistent Results Across Methods in Benchmark HD 115

Figure 16. Estimated Hispanic support for a given candidate in House District 115.




PLAINTIFFS TRIAL
EXHIBIT
P111
10/1/2025

P111 [Walker Rep., Figure 16]




Wide Variation in the Underlying Raw Data

Figure 15. Bivariate association between candidate support and percent of voters who are Hispanic in House District 115.



PLAINTIFFS TRIAL
EXHIBIT
P110
Case 1:24-cv-021983-JB



P110 [Walker Rep., Figure 15]

Weak Evidence Of Cohesion in Benchmark HD 115

Table 9. Summary of Hispanic Bloc Voting in House District 115.

Contest	Bloc > 50%	Bloc > 60%	Bloc > 70%	Rep Cand	Dem Cand	Pre'd Cand
President 2020	Yes	Yes	No	Trump*	Biden	R-Trump*
Governor 2018	Yes	Yes	No	DeSantis*	Gillum	R-DeSantis*
Attorney General 2018	Yes	Yes	No	Moody*	Shaw	R-Moody*
CFO 2018	Yes	No	No	Patronis*	Ring	R-Patronis*
Com. of Agriculture 2018	No	No	No	Caldwell	Fried*	Undetermined
US Senate 2018	Yes	No	No	Scott*	Nelson	R-Scott*
President 2016	No	No	No	Trump*	Clinton	Undetermined
US Senate 2016	Yes	Yes	No	Rubio*	Murphy	R-Rubio*
Governor 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Scott*	Crist	R-Scott*
Attorney General 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Bondi*	Sheldon	R-Bondi*
CFO 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Atwater*	Rankin	R-Atwater*
Com. of Agriculture 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Putnam*	Hamilton	R-Putnam*
President 2012	Yes	No	No	Romney	Obama*	R-Romney
US Senate 2012	Yes	No	No	Mack	Nelson*	R-Mack
State House 2020	Yes	Yes	No	Aloupis*	Browne	R-Aloupis*
State House 2018	Yes	Yes	No	Aloupis*	Solomon	R-Aloupis*
State House 2016	Yes	No	No	Bileca*	Solomon	R-Bileca*



Table 9. Summary of Hispanic Bloc Voting in House District 115.


Contest	Bloc > 50%	Bloc > 60%	Bloc > 70%	Rep Cand	Dem Cand	Pre'd Cand
President 2020	Yes	Yes	No	Trump*	Biden	R-Trump*
Governor 2018	Yes	Yes	No	DeSantis*	Gillum	R-DeSantis*
Attorney General 2018	Yes	Yes	No	Moody*	Shaw	R-Moody*
CFO 2018	Yes	No	No	Patronis*	Ring	R-Patronis*
Com. of Agriculture 2018	No	No	No	Caldwell	Fried*	Undetermined
US Senate 2018	Yes	No	No	Scott*	Nelson	R-Scott*
President 2016	No	No	No	Trump*	Clinton	Undetermined
US Senate 2016	Yes	Yes	No	Rubio*	Murphy	R-Rubio*
Governor 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Scott*	Crist	R-Scott*
Attorney General 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Bondi*	Sheldon	R-Bondi*
CFO 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Atwater*	Rankin	R-Atwater*
Com. of Agriculture 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Putnam*	Hamilton	R-Putnam*
President 2012	Yes	No	No	Romney	Obama*	R-Romney
US Senate 2012	Yes	No	No	Mack	Nelson*	R-Mack
State House 2020	Yes	Yes	No	Aloupis*	Browne	R-Aloupis*
State House 2018	Yes	Yes	No	Aloupis*	Solomon	R-Aloupis*
State House 2016	Yes	No	No	Bileca*	Solomon	R-Bileca*

Bloc voting observed at 70% threshold in 0 out of 17 elections


P109 [Walker Rep., Table 9]

Analyzed Cohesion in Benchmark HD 118 Using Two Methods of Ecological Inference

Figure 20. Estimated Hispanic support for a given candidate in House District 118.




PLAINTIFFS' TRIAL EXHIBIT
P117




P117 [Walker Rep., Figure 20]

Inconsistent Results Across Methods in Benchmark HD 118

Figure 20. Estimated Hispanic support for a given candidate in House District 118.



PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL
EXHIBIT
P117
JAN 2026



P117 [Walker Rep., Figure 20]

Weak Evidence of Cohesion in Benchmark HD 118

Table 11. Summary of Hispanic Bloc Voting in House District 118.

Contest	Bloc > 50%	Bloc > 60%	Bloc > 70%	Rep Cand	Dem Cand	Pref'd Cand
President 2020	Yes	No	No	Trump*	Biden	R-Trump*
Governor 2018	Yes	No	No	DeSantis*	Gillum	R-DeSantis*
Attorney General 2018	Yes	Yes	No	Moody*	Shaw	R-Moody*
CFO 2018	No	No	No	Patronis*	Ring	Undetermined
Com. of Agriculture 2018	No	No	No	Caldwell	Fried*	Undetermined
US Senate 2018	No	No	No	Scott*	Nelson	Undetermined
President 2016	No	No	No	Trump*	Clinton	Undetermined
US Senate 2016	Yes	No	No	Rubio*	Murphy	R-Rubio*
Governor 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Scott*	Crist	R-Scott*
Attorney General 2014	Yes	Yes	Yes	Bondi*	Sheldon	R-Bondi*
CFO 2014	Yes	No	No	Atwater*	Rankin	R-Atwater*
Com. of Agriculture 2014	Yes	No	No	Putnam*	Hamilton	R-Putnam*
President 2012	No	No	No	Romney	Obama*	Undetermined
US Senate 2012	No	No	No	Mack	Nelson*	Undetermined
State House 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Rodriguez*	Junquera	R-Rodriguez*
State House 2018	Yes	Yes	No	Rodriguez*	Asencio	R-Rodriguez*
State House 2016	Yes	No	No	Rivera	Asencio*	R-Rivera



Table 11. Summary of Hispanic Bloc Voting in House District 118.


Contest	Bloc > 50%	Bloc > 60%	Bloc > 70%	Rep Cand	Dem Cand	Pref'd Cand
President 2020	Yes	No	No	Trump*	Biden	R-Trump*
Governor 2018	Yes	No	No	DeSantis*	Gillum	R-DeSantis*
Attorney General 2018	Yes	Yes	No	Moody*	Shaw	R-Moody*
CFO 2018	No	No	No	Patronis*	Ring	Undetermined
Com. of Agriculture 2018	No	No	No	Caldwell	Fried*	Undetermined
US Senate 2018	No	No	No	Scott*	Nelson	Undetermined
President 2016	No	No	No	Trump*	Clinton	Undetermined
US Senate 2016	Yes	No	No	Rubio*	Murphy	R-Rubio*
Governor 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Scott*	Crist	R-Scott*
Attorney General 2014	Yes	Yes	Yes	Bondi*	Sheldon	R-Bondi*
CFO 2014	Yes	No	No	Atwater*	Rankin	R-Atwater*
Com. of Agriculture 2014	Yes	No	No	Putnam*	Hamilton	R-Putnam*
President 2012	No	No	No	Romney	Obama*	Undetermined
US Senate 2012	No	No	No	Mack	Nelson*	Undetermined
State House 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Rodriguez*	Junquera	R-Rodriguez*
State House 2018	Yes	Yes	No	Rodriguez*	Asencio	R-Rodriguez*
State House 2016	Yes	No	No	Rivera	Asencio*	R-Rivera

Bloc voting observed at 70% threshold in 2 out of 17 elections


P115 [Walker Rep., Table 11]

Analyzed Cohesion in Benchmark HD 119 Using Two Methods of Ecological Inference

Figure 22. Estimated Hispanic support for a given candidate in House District 119.




PLAINTIFFS TRIAL
EXHIBIT
P120
1/20/2024




P120 [Walker Rep., Figure 22]

Inconsistent Results Across Methods in Benchmark HD 119

Figure 22. Estimated Hispanic support for a given candidate in House District 119



PLAINTIFFS TRIAL
STATEMENT
P120



P120 [Walker Rep., Figure 22]

Weak Evidence Of Cohesion in Benchmark HD 119

Table 12. Summary of Hispanic Bloc Voting in House District 119.

Contest	Bloc > 50%	Bloc > 60%	Bloc > 70%	Rep Cand	Dem Cand	Pre'd Cand
President 2020	Yes	No	No	Trump*	Biden	R-Trump*
Governor 2018	Yes	No	No	DeSantis*	Gillum	R-DeSantis*
Attorney General 2018	Yes	No	No	Moody*	Shaw	R-Moody*
CFO 2018	Yes	No	No	Patronis*	Ring	R-Patronis*
Com. of Agriculture 2018	Yes	No	No	Caldwell	Fried*	R-Caldwell
US Senate 2018	Yes	No	No	Scott*	Nelson	R-Scott*
President 2016	No	No	No	Trump*	Clinton	Undetermined
US Senate 2016	Yes	No	No	Rubio*	Murphy	R-Rubio*
Governor 2014	Yes	No	No	Scott*	Crist	R-Scott*
Attorney General 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Bondi*	Sheldon	R-Bondi*
CFO 2014	Yes	No	No	Atwater*	Rankin	R-Atwater*
Com. of Agriculture 2014	Yes	No	No	Putnam*	Hamilton	R-Putnam*
President 2012	No	No	No	Romney	Obama*	Undetermined
US Senate 2012	No	No	No	Mack	Nelson*	Undetermined
State House 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Fernandez-Barquin*	Mohammad	R-Fernandez-Barquin*
State House 2018	Yes	Yes	No	Fernandez-Barquin*	Rassner	R-Fernandez-Barquin*
State House 2016	Yes	Yes	No	Nunez*	Villanueva	R-Nunez*



Table 12. Summary of Hispanic Bloc Voting in House District 119.


Contest	Bloc > 50%	Bloc > 60%	Bloc > 70%	Rep Cand	Dem Cand	Pre'd Cand
President 2020	Yes	No	No	Trump*	Biden	R-Trump*
Governor 2018	Yes	No	No	DeSantis*	Gillum	R-DeSantis*
Attorney General 2018	Yes	No	No	Moody*	Shaw	R-Moody*
CFO 2018	Yes	No	No	Patronis*	Ring	R-Patronis*
Com. of Agriculture 2018	Yes	No	No	Caldwell	Fried*	R-Caldwell
US Senate 2018	Yes	No	No	Scott*	Nelson	R-Scott*
President 2016	No	No	No	Trump*	Clinton	Undetermined
US Senate 2016	Yes	No	No	Rubio*	Murphy	R-Rubio*
Governor 2014	Yes	No	No	Scott*	Crist	R-Scott*
Attorney General 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Bondi*	Sheldon	R-Bondi*
CFO 2014	Yes	No	No	Atwater*	Rankin	R-Atwater*
Com. of Agriculture 2014	Yes	No	No	Putnam*	Hamilton	R-Putnam*
President 2012	No	No	No	Romney	Obama*	Undetermined
US Senate 2012	No	No	No	Mack	Nelson*	Undetermined
State House 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Fernandez-Barquin*	Mohammad	R-Fernandez-Barquin*
State House 2018	Yes	Yes	No	Fernandez-Barquin*	Rassner	R-Fernandez-Barquin*
State House 2016	Yes	Yes	No	Nunez*	Villanueva	R-Nunez*

P118 [Walker Rep., Table 12]


Bloc voting observed at 70% threshold in 1 out of 17 elections

Analyzed Cohesion in Benchmark CD25 Using 2 Methods of Ecological Inference

Figure 24. Estimated Hispanic support for a given candidate in Congressional District 25.




PLAINTIFFS TRIAL EXHIBIT
P123
1/26/2024




P123 [Walker Rep., Figure 24]

Inconsistent Results Across Methods in Benchmark CD 25

Figure 24. Estimated Hispanic support for a given candidate in Congressional District 25.



PLAINTIFFS' TRIAL
EXHIBIT
P123
01/21/2026



P123 [Walker Rep., Figure 24]

Weak Evidence of Cohesion in Benchmark CD 25

Table 13. Summary of Hispanic Bloc Voting in Congressional District 25.

Contest	Bloc > 50%	Bloc > 60%	Bloc > 70%	Rep Cand	Dem Cand	Pref'd Cand
President 2020	Yes	Yes	No	Trump*	Biden	R-Trump*
Governor 2018	Yes	No	No	DeSantis*	Gillum	R-DeSantis*
Attorney General 2018	Yes	No	No	Moody*	Shaw	R-Moody*
CFO 2018	Yes	No	No	Patronis*	Ring	R-Patronis*
Com. of Agriculture 2018	Yes	No	No	Caldwell	Fried*	R-Caldwell
US Senate 2018	Yes	No	No	Scott*	Nelson	R-Scott*
President 2016	Yes	No	No	Trump*	Clinton	D-Clinton
US Senate 2016	Yes	No	No	Rubio*	Murphy	R-Rubio*
Governor 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Scott*	Crist	R-Scott*
Attorney General 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Bondi*	Sheldon	R-Bondi*
CFO 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Atwater*	Rankin	R-Atwater*
Com. of Agriculture 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Putnam*	Hamilton	R-Putnam*
President 2012	No	No	No	Romney	Obama*	Undetermined
US Senate 2012	No	No	No	Mack	Nelson*	Undetermined
Congress 2018	Yes	Yes	No	Diaz-Balart*	Flores	R-Diaz-Balart*
Congress 2016	Yes	No	No	Diaz-Balart*	Valdes	R-Diaz-Balart*



Table 13. Summary of Hispanic Bloc Voting in Congressional District 25.

Contest	Bloc > 50%	Bloc > 60%	Bloc > 70%	Rep Cand	Dem Cand	Pref'd Cand
President 2020	Yes	Yes	No	Trump*	Biden	R-Trump*
Governor 2018	Yes	No	No	DeSantis*	Gillum	R-DeSantis*
Attorney General 2018	Yes	No	No	Moody*	Shaw	R-Moody*
CFO 2018	Yes	No	No	Patronis*	Ring	R-Patronis*
Com. of Agriculture 2018	Yes	No	No	Caldwell	Fried*	R-Caldwell
US Senate 2018	Yes	No	No	Scott*	Nelson	R-Scott*
President 2016	Yes	No	No	Trump*	Clinton	D-Clinton
US Senate 2016	Yes	No	No	Rubio*	Murphy	R-Rubio*
Governor 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Scott*	Crist	R-Scott*
Attorney General 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Bondi*	Sheldon	R-Bondi*
CFO 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Atwater*	Rankin	R-Atwater*
Com. of Agriculture 2014	Yes	Yes	No	Putnam*	Hamilton	R-Putnam*
President 2012	No	No	No	Romney	Obama*	Undetermined
US Senate 2012	No	No	No	Mack	Nelson*	Undetermined
Congress 2018	Yes	Yes	No	Diaz-Balart*	Flores	R-Diaz-Balart*
Congress 2016	Yes	No	No	Diaz-Balart*	Valdes	R-Diaz-Balart*

Bloc voting observed at 70% threshold in 0 out of 16 elections

P121 [Walker Rep., Table 13]

Weak Evidence of Hispanic Vote Cohesion in Benchmark HD 115, HD 118, HD 119, and CD 25

	Bloc 50%+	Bloc 60%+	Bloc 70%+
HD 115	15/17 (88%)	10/17 (59%)	0/17 (0%)
HD 118	11/17 (65%)	5/17 (29%)	2/17 (12%)
HD 119	14/17 (82%)	4/17 (24%)	1/17 (6%)
CD 25	14/16 (88%)	6/16 (38%)	0/16 (0%)

P109, P115, P118, and P121

Dr. Walker's Key Conclusions

1

Analysis shows weak evidence that Hispanic voters in benchmark HD 115, 118, & 119 and CD 25 vote cohesively.

2

White voters vote as a bloc, but their preferred candidate often aligns with Hispanic voters' preferred candidate.

3

The alternative maps for CD20 and CD24 maintain the ability of Black voters to elect their preferred candidate.

White Voters' Preferred Candidate Aligns With Hispanic Voters' Preferred Candidate

<i>Summary of Whether White Bloc Voting Was Sufficient to Defeat Hispanic-Preferred Candidates in Statewide Elections</i>				
Contest	HD 115	HD 118	HD 119	CD 25
President 2020	No	No	No	No
Governor 2018	No	No	No	No
Atty. General 2018	No	No	No	No
CFO 2018	No	No	No	No
Com. of Ag. 2018	No	No	No	No
US Senate 2018	No	No	No	No
President 2016	No	No	No	Yes
US Senate 2016	No	No	No	No
Governor 2014	No	No	No	No
Atty. General 2014	No	No	No	No
CFO 2014	No	No	No	No
Com. of Ag. 2014	No	No	No	No
President 2012	No	No	No	Preferred Candidate Undetermined
US Senate 2012	No	No	No	Preferred Candidate Undetermined

Walker Rep., Section E

Dr. Walker's Key Conclusions

1

Analysis shows weak evidence that Hispanic voters in benchmark HD 115, 118, & 119 and CD 25 vote cohesively.


2

White voters vote as a bloc, but their preferred candidate often aligns with Hispanic voters' preferred candidate.


3

The alternative maps for CD20 and CD24 maintain the ability of Black voters to elect their preferred candidate.


Dr. Walker Evaluated the Alternative Maps Implicating Black-Performing Districts CD 20 and CD 24



**CD 20, configuration AB2
(Plans A and B2)
[D31]**




**CD 20, configuration B1
(Plan B1)
[D32]**




**CD 20, configuration CD
(Plans C1, C2, and D)
[D34]**


Dr. Walker Evaluated the Alternative Maps Implicating Black-Performing Districts CD 20 and CD 24



CD 24, configuration ACD
(Plans A, C1, C2, and D)
[D31]



CD 24, configuration B1
(Plan B1)
[D32]



CD 24, configuration B2
(Plan B2)
[D33]

Alternative Maps and Enacted Map Showed Some Variation in Black Turnout Compared to Benchmark CD 20

Table 1. Turnout Statistics for CD20

	FLCD20	Illus. B1	B1 Diff	Illus. AB2	AB2 Diff	Illus. CD	CD Diff	CD20 Enacted	Enact Diff
2020 Black VAP	52.37	49.04	-3.33	48.56	-3.81	42.44	-9.93	50.11	-2.26
<i>Percent of registered voters who are Black</i>									
Reg Voters 2020	49.68	45.65	-4.03	45.43	-4.25	37.97	-11.71	46.83	-2.85
Reg Voters 2018	49.53	45.16	-4.37	44.99	-4.54	36.70	-12.83	46.38	-3.15
Reg Voters 2016	49.55	44.93	-4.62	44.79	-4.76	35.92	-13.63	46.17	-3.38
Reg Voters 2014	49.47	44.62	-4.85	44.50	-4.97	34.97	-14.50	45.87	-3.60
Reg Voters 2012	48.73	43.72	-5.01	43.61	-5.12	33.91	-14.82	44.90	-3.83
Average	49.39	44.82	-4.58	44.66	-4.73	35.89	-13.50	46.03	-3.36
<i>Percent of registered Democrats who are Black</i>									
Reg Dem 2020	65.27	61.13	-4.14	60.96	-4.31	53.05	-12.22	62.19	-3.08
Reg Dem 2018	64.84	60.41	-4.43	60.27	-4.57	51.67	-13.17	61.50	-3.34
Reg Dem 2016	64.66	59.99	-4.67	59.87	-4.79	50.79	-13.87	61.11	-3.55
Reg Dem 2014	64.64	59.47	-5.17	59.37	-5.27	49.58	-15.06	60.62	-4.02
Reg Dem 2012	63.49	58.11	-5.38	58.01	-5.48	47.91	-15.58	59.23	-4.26
Average	64.58	59.82	-4.76	59.70	-4.88	50.60	-13.98	60.93	-3.65
<i>Percent of turned-out voters who are Black</i>									
Voter Turnout 2020	49.81	45.06	-4.75	44.82	-4.99	37.36	-12.45	46.41	-3.40
Voter Turnout 2018	53.26	47.31	-5.95	47.13	-6.13	38.78	-14.48	48.76	-4.50
Voter Turnout 2016	50.50	45.10	-5.40	44.95	-5.55	36.13	-14.37	46.49	-4.01
Voter Turnout 2014	55.03	47.77	-7.26	47.64	-7.39	37.97	-17.06	49.25	-5.78
Voter Turnout 2012	53.00	47.06	-5.94	46.95	-6.05	36.86	-16.14	48.50	-4.50
Average	52.32	46.46	-5.86	46.30	-6.02	37.42	-14.90	47.88	-4.44
<i>Percent of turned-out Democrats who are Black</i>									
Dem Turnout 2020	65.40	60.50	-4.90	60.31	-5.09	52.33	-13.07	61.71	-3.69
Dem Turnout 2018	67.54	61.82	-5.72	61.67	-5.87	53.17	-14.37	63.07	-4.47
Dem Turnout 2016	65.39	60.02	-5.37	59.91	-5.48	50.83	-14.56	61.27	-4.12

PLANNED TURNOUT
P144

Table 1. Turnout Statistics for CD20

	FLCD20	Illus. B1	B1 Diff	Illus. AB2	AB2 Diff	Illus. CD	CD Diff	CD20 Enacted	Enact Diff
2020 Black VAP	52.37	49.04	-3.33	48.56	-3.81	42.44	-9.93	50.11	-2.26
<i>Percent of registered voters who are Black</i>									
Reg Voters 2020	49.68	45.65	-4.03	45.43	-4.25	37.97	-11.71	46.83	-2.85
Reg Voters 2018	49.53	45.16	-4.37	44.99	-4.54	36.70	-12.83	46.38	-3.15
Reg Voters 2016	49.55	44.93	-4.62	44.79	-4.76	35.92	-13.63	46.17	-3.38
Reg Voters 2014	49.47	44.62	-4.85	44.50	-4.97	34.97	-14.50	45.87	-3.60
Reg Voters 2012	48.73	43.72	-5.01	43.61	-5.12	33.91	-14.82	44.90	-3.83
Average	49.39	44.82	-4.58	44.66	-4.73	35.89	-13.50	46.03	-3.36
<i>Percent of registered Democrats who are Black</i>									
Reg Dem 2020	65.27	61.13	-4.14	60.96	-4.31	53.05	-12.22	62.19	-3.08
Reg Dem 2018	64.84	60.41	-4.43	60.27	-4.57	51.67	-13.17	61.50	-3.34
Reg Dem 2016	64.66	59.99	-4.67	59.87	-4.79	50.79	-13.87	61.11	-3.55
Reg Dem 2014	64.64	59.47	-5.17	59.37	-5.27	49.58	-15.06	60.62	-4.02
Reg Dem 2012	63.49	58.11	-5.38	58.01	-5.48	47.91	-15.58	59.23	-4.26
Average	64.58	59.82	-4.76	59.70	-4.88	50.60	-13.98	60.93	-3.65
<i>Percent of turned-out voters who are Black</i>									
Voter Turnout 2020	49.81	45.06	-4.75	44.82	-4.99	37.36	-12.45	46.41	-3.40
Voter Turnout 2018	53.26	47.31	-5.95	47.13	-6.13	38.78	-14.48	48.76	-4.50
Voter Turnout 2016	50.50	45.10	-5.40	44.95	-5.55	36.13	-14.37	46.49	-4.01
Voter Turnout 2014	55.03	47.77	-7.26	47.64	-7.39	37.97	-17.06	49.25	-5.78
Voter Turnout 2012	53.00	47.06	-5.94	46.95	-6.05	36.86	-16.14	48.50	-4.50
Average	52.32	46.46	-5.86	46.30	-6.02	37.42	-14.90	47.88	-4.44
<i>Percent of turned-out Democrats who are Black</i>									
Dem Turnout 2020	65.40	60.50	-4.90	60.31	-5.09	52.33	-13.07	61.71	-3.69
Dem Turnout 2018	67.54	61.82	-5.72	61.67	-5.87	53.17	-14.37	63.07	-4.47
Dem Turnout 2016	65.39	60.02	-5.37	59.91	-5.48	50.83	-14.56	61.27	-4.12

P144 [Walker Rep., Table 1]

Black-Preferred Candidate Continued to Win in Alternative Maps for CD 20 for All General Elections Analyzed

Table 2. General Election Returns for CD20

Year/Contest	Candidate	FLCD20	Illus. B1	B1 Diff	Illus. AB2	AB2 Diff	Illus. CD	CD Diff	CD20 Enacted	Enact Diff
2020 President	Biden (D)	77.30	75.28	-2.02	75.16	-2.14	72.38	-4.92	75.88	-1.42
	Trump (R)	22.10	24.10	2.00	24.21	2.11	27.04	4.94	23.49	1.39
2018 Governor	DeSantis (R)	17.19	20.09	2.90	20.17	2.98	23.49	6.30	19.39	2.20
	Gilham (D)	82.17	79.23	-2.94	79.15	-3.02	75.83	-6.34	79.93	-2.24
2018 Atty. Gen.	Moody (R)	18.17	20.87	2.70	20.94	2.77	23.91	5.74	20.23	2.06
	Shaw (D)	80.59	77.80	-2.79	77.73	-2.86	74.72	-5.87	78.43	-2.16
2018 CFO	Patronis (R)	18.10	20.86	2.76	20.93	2.83	24.02	5.92	20.16	2.06
	Ring (D)	81.86	79.09	-2.77	79.02	-2.84	75.96	-5.90	79.78	-2.08
2018 Comm. Ag.	Caldwell (R)	17.83	20.48	2.65	20.55	2.72	23.42	5.59	19.85	2.02
	Fried (D)	82.14	79.47	-2.67	79.40	-2.74	76.56	-5.58	80.09	-2.05
2018 US Senate	Scott (R)	18.05	20.60	2.55	20.67	2.62	23.54	5.49	19.98	1.93
	Nelson (D)	81.92	79.38	-2.54	79.31	-2.61	76.44	-5.48	79.99	-1.93
2016 President	Trump (R)	18.08	21.00	2.92	21.07	2.99	24.61	6.53	20.38	2.30
	Clinton (D)	80.19	77.21	-2.98	77.13	-3.06	73.53	-6.66	77.84	-2.35
2016 US Senate	Rubio (R)	20.97	23.02	2.05	23.07	2.10	26.50	5.53	22.40	1.43
	Murphy (D)	77.23	75.02	-2.21	74.97	-2.26	71.51	-5.72	75.65	-1.58
2014 Governor	Scott (R)	16.50	18.53	2.03	18.56	2.06	22.19	5.69	17.88	1.38
	Crist (D)	81.51	79.26	-2.25	79.22	-2.29	75.52	-5.99	79.92	-1.59
2014 Atty. Gen.	Bondi (R)	20.63	23.01	2.38	23.07	2.44	26.78	6.15	22.39	1.76
	Sheldon (D)	78.02	75.49	-2.53	75.44	-2.58	71.61	-6.41	76.12	-1.90
2014 CFO	Arwater (R)	22.29	25.22	2.93	25.29	3.00	29.32	7.03	24.47	2.18
	Rankin (D)	77.64	74.71	-2.93	74.64	-3.00	70.68	-6.96	75.47	-2.17
2014 Comm. Ag.	Putnam (R)	20.70	23.75	3.05	23.80	3.10	28.06	7.36	22.93	2.23
	Hamilton (D)	79.23	76.22	-3.01	76.16	-3.07	71.92	-7.31	77.03	-2.20
2012 President	Romney (R)	17.08	19.81	2.73	19.86	2.78	24.42	7.34	19.02	1.94
	Obama (D)	82.51	79.72	-2.79	79.66	-2.85	75.08	-7.43	80.52	-1.99
2012 US Senate	Mack (R)	15.25	17.52	2.27	17.56	2.31	21.83	6.58	16.81	1.56
	Nelson (D)	83.61	81.25	-2.36	81.21	-2.40	76.93	-6.78	81.96	-1.65



Table 2. General Election Returns for CD20

Year/Contest	Candidate	FLCD20	Illus. B1	B1 Diff	Illus. AB2	AB2 Diff	Illus. CD	CD Diff	CD20 Enacted	Enact Diff
2020 President	Biden (D)	77.30	75.28	-2.02	75.16	-2.14	72.38	-4.92	75.88	-1.42
	Trump (R)	22.10	24.10	2.00	24.21	2.11	27.04	4.94	23.49	1.39
2018 Governor	DeSantis (R)	17.19	20.09	2.90	20.17	2.98	23.49	6.30	19.39	2.20
	Gilham (D)	82.17	79.23	-2.94	79.15	-3.02	75.83	-6.34	79.93	-2.24
2018 Atty. Gen.	Moody (R)	18.17	20.87	2.70	20.94	2.77	23.91	5.74	20.23	2.06
	Shaw (D)	80.59	77.80	-2.79	77.73	-2.86	74.72	-5.87	78.43	-2.16
2018 CFO	Patronis (R)	18.10	20.86	2.76	20.93	2.83	24.02	5.92	20.16	2.06
	Ring (D)	81.86	79.09	-2.77	79.02	-2.84	75.96	-5.90	79.78	-2.08
2018 Comm. Ag.	Caldwell (R)	17.83	20.48	2.65	20.55	2.72	23.42	5.59	19.85	2.02
	Fried (D)	82.14	79.47	-2.67	79.40	-2.74	76.56	-5.58	80.09	-2.05
2018 US Senate	Scott (R)	18.05	20.60	2.55	20.67	2.62	23.54	5.49	19.98	1.93
	Nelson (D)	81.92	79.38	-2.54	79.31	-2.61	76.44	-5.48	79.99	-1.93
2016 President	Trump (R)	18.08	21.00	2.92	21.07	2.99	24.61	6.53	20.38	2.30
	Clinton (D)	80.19	77.21	-2.98	77.13	-3.06	73.53	-6.66	77.84	-2.35
2016 US Senate	Rubio (R)	20.97	23.02	2.05	23.07	2.10	26.50	5.53	22.40	1.43
	Murphy (D)	77.23	75.02	-2.21	74.97	-2.26	71.51	-5.72	75.65	-1.58
2014 Governor	Scott (R)	16.50	18.53	2.03	18.56	2.06	22.19	5.69	17.88	1.38
	Crist (D)	81.51	79.26	-2.25	79.22	-2.29	75.52	-5.99	79.92	-1.59
2014 Atty. Gen.	Bondi (R)	20.63	23.01	2.38	23.07	2.44	26.78	6.15	22.39	1.76
	Sheldon (D)	78.02	75.49	-2.53	75.44	-2.58	71.61	-6.41	76.12	-1.90
2014 CFO	Arwater (R)	22.29	25.22	2.93	25.29	3.00	29.32	7.03	24.47	2.18
	Rankin (D)	77.64	74.71	-2.93	74.64	-3.00	70.68	-6.96	75.47	-2.17
2014 Comm. Ag.	Putnam (R)	20.70	23.75	3.05	23.80	3.10	28.06	7.36	22.93	2.23
	Hamilton (D)	79.23	76.22	-3.01	76.16	-3.07	71.92	-7.31	77.03	-2.20
2012 President	Romney (R)	17.08	19.81	2.73	19.86	2.78	24.42	7.34	19.02	1.94
	Obama (D)	82.51	79.72	-2.79	79.66	-2.85	75.08	-7.43	80.52	-1.99
2012 US Senate	Mack (R)	15.25	17.52	2.27	17.56	2.31	21.83	6.58	16.81	1.56
	Nelson (D)	83.61	81.25	-2.36	81.21	-2.40	76.93	-6.78	81.96	-1.65

P145 [Walker Rep., Table 2]

Black-Preferred Candidate Continued to Win in Alternative Maps for CD 20 for All Primary Elections Analyzed

Table 3. Primary Election Returns for CD20

Year/Contest	Candidate	FLCD20	Illus. B1	B1 Diff	Illus. AB2	AB2 Diff	Illus. CD	CD Diff	CD20 Enacted	Enact Diff
2018 Governor	Gillum	57.40	52.61	-4.79	52.62	-4.78	47.19	-10.21	53.40	-4.00
	Graham	11.80	13.35	1.55	13.37	1.57	14.09	2.29	13.13	1.33
2018 Atty Gen.	Torrens	17.49	18.52	1.03	18.51	1.02	19.59	2.10	18.52	1.03
	Shaw	82.43	81.35	-1.08	81.36	-1.07	80.40	-2.03	81.38	-1.05
2018 Comm. Ag.	Fried	62.93	64.24	1.31	64.30	1.37	66.59	3.66	63.96	1.03
	Walker	20.71	19.83	-0.88	19.79	-0.92	19.15	-1.56	19.87	-0.84
2016 US Senate	Keith	14.47	14.30	-0.17	14.32	-0.15	14.39	-0.08	14.23	-0.24
	Murphy	69.42	69.40	-0.02	69.34	-0.08	68.80	-0.62	69.53	0.11
2014 Governor	Crist	84.59	82.39	-2.20	82.31	-2.28	79.89	-4.70	82.86	-1.73
	Rich	14.37	16.67	2.30	16.75	2.38	19.29	4.92	16.18	1.81
2014 Atty. Gen.	Sheldon	37.06	37.83	0.77	37.86	0.80	38.12	1.06	38.12	1.06
	Thurston	62.36	61.77	-0.59	61.74	-0.62	61.61	-0.75	61.44	-0.92
2012 US Senate	Burkett	12.49	12.62	0.13	12.64	0.15	12.04	-0.45	12.67	0.18
	Nelson	86.01	85.89	-0.12	85.89	-0.12	86.15	0.14	85.87	-0.14

Table 3. Primary Election Returns for CD20

Year/Contest	Candidate	FLCD20	Illus. B1	B1 Diff	Illus. AB2	AB2 Diff	Illus. CD	CD Diff	CD20 Enacted	Enact Diff
2018 Governor	Gillum	57.40	52.61	-4.79	52.62	-4.78	47.19	-10.21	53.40	-4.00
	Graham	11.80	13.35	1.55	13.37	1.57	14.09	2.29	13.13	1.33
2018 Atty Gen.	Torrens	17.49	18.52	1.03	18.51	1.02	19.59	2.10	18.52	1.03
	Shaw	82.43	81.35	-1.08	81.36	-1.07	80.40	-2.03	81.38	-1.05
2018 Comm. Ag.	Fried	62.93	64.24	1.31	64.30	1.37	66.59	3.66	63.96	1.03
	Walker	20.71	19.83	-0.88	19.79	-0.92	19.15	-1.56	19.87	-0.84
2016 US Senate	Keith	14.47	14.30	-0.17	14.32	-0.15	14.39	-0.08	14.23	-0.24
	Murphy	69.42	69.40	-0.02	69.34	-0.08	68.80	-0.62	69.53	0.11
2014 Governor	Crist	84.59	82.39	-2.20	82.31	-2.28	79.89	-4.70	82.86	-1.73
	Rich	14.37	16.67	2.30	16.75	2.38	19.29	4.92	16.18	1.81
2014 Atty. Gen.	Sheldon	37.06	37.83	0.77	37.86	0.80	38.12	1.06	38.12	1.06
	Thurston	62.36	61.77	-0.59	61.74	-0.62	61.61	-0.75	61.44	-0.92
2012 US Senate	Burkett	12.49	12.62	0.13	12.64	0.15	12.04	-0.45	12.67	0.18
	Nelson	86.01	85.89	-0.12	85.89	-0.12	86.15	0.14	85.87	-0.14

P146

P146 [Walker Rep., Table 3]

Alternative Maps and Enacted Map Showed Some Variation in Black Turnout Compared to Benchmark CD 24

Table 4. Turnout Statistics for CD24

	FLCD24	Illus. B1	B1 Diff	Illus. B2	B2 Diff	Illus. ACD	ACD Diff	ACD24 Enacted	Enact Diff
2020 Black VAP	43.62	36.67	-6.95	36.33	-7.29	40.17	-3.45	42.17	-1.45
<i>Percent of registered voters who are Black</i>									
Reg Voters 2020	46.17	36.60	-9.57	36.24	-9.93	39.83	-6.34	44.03	-2.14
Reg Voters 2018	47.60	37.50	-10.10	37.10	-10.50	40.74	-6.86	45.57	-2.03
Reg Voters 2016	48.53	37.79	-10.74	37.38	-11.15	41.07	-7.46	46.39	-2.14
Reg Voters 2014	50.75	38.73	-12.02	38.29	-12.46	42.12	-8.63	47.83	-2.92
Reg Voters 2012	51.04	38.51	-12.53	38.08	-12.96	41.79	-9.25	48.20	-2.84
Average	48.82	37.83	-10.99	37.42	-11.40	41.11	-7.71	46.40	-2.41
<i>Percent of registered Democrats who are Black</i>									
Reg Dem 2020	62.15	54.54	-7.61	54.14	-8.01	57.05	-5.10	60.56	-1.59
Reg Dem 2018	62.71	54.44	-8.27	54.03	-8.68	57.10	-5.61	61.28	-1.43
Reg Dem 2016	63.34	54.42	-8.92	54.00	-9.34	57.15	-6.19	61.85	-1.49
Reg Dem 2014	65.44	55.12	-10.32	54.68	-10.76	57.93	-7.51	63.05	-2.39
Reg Dem 2012	65.35	54.20	-11.15	53.77	-11.58	56.93	-8.42	62.93	-2.42
Average	63.80	54.54	-9.25	54.12	-9.67	57.23	-6.57	61.93	-1.86
<i>Percent of turned-out voters who are Black</i>									
Voter Turnout 2020	45.56	36.40	-9.16	36.03	-9.53	39.51	-6.05	42.88	-2.68
Voter Turnout 2018	51.67	41.53	-10.14	41.09	-10.58	44.44	-7.23	47.81	-3.86
Voter Turnout 2016	49.02	38.36	-10.66	37.95	-11.07	41.58	-7.44	45.86	-3.16
Voter Turnout 2014	58.35	46.55	-11.80	46.05	-12.30	49.27	-9.08	53.65	-4.70
Voter Turnout 2012	54.80	42.28	-12.52	41.81	-12.99	45.43	-9.37	50.60	-4.20
Average	51.88	41.02	-10.86	40.59	-11.29	44.05	-7.83	48.16	-3.72

Table 4. Turnout Statistics for CD24

	FLCD24	Illus. B1	B1 Diff	Illus. B2	B2 Diff	Illus. ACD	ACD Diff	ACD24 Enacted	Enact Diff
2020 Black VAP	43.62	36.67	-6.95	36.33	-7.29	40.17	-3.45	42.17	-1.45
<i>Percent of registered voters who are Black</i>									
Reg Voters 2020	46.17	36.60	-9.57	36.24	-9.93	39.83	-6.34	44.03	-2.14
Reg Voters 2018	47.60	37.50	-10.10	37.10	-10.50	40.74	-6.86	45.57	-2.03
Reg Voters 2016	48.53	37.79	-10.74	37.38	-11.15	41.07	-7.46	46.39	-2.14
Reg Voters 2014	50.75	38.73	-12.02	38.29	-12.46	42.12	-8.63	47.83	-2.92
Reg Voters 2012	51.04	38.51	-12.53	38.08	-12.96	41.79	-9.25	48.20	-2.84
Average	48.82	37.83	-10.99	37.42	-11.40	41.11	-7.71	46.40	-2.41
<i>Percent of registered Democrats who are Black</i>									
Reg Dem 2020	62.15	54.54	-7.61	54.14	-8.01	57.05	-5.10	60.56	-1.59
Reg Dem 2018	62.71	54.44	-8.27	54.03	-8.68	57.10	-5.61	61.28	-1.43
Reg Dem 2016	63.34	54.42	-8.92	54.00	-9.34	57.15	-6.19	61.85	-1.49
Reg Dem 2014	65.44	55.12	-10.32	54.68	-10.76	57.93	-7.51	63.05	-2.39
Reg Dem 2012	65.35	54.20	-11.15	53.77	-11.58	56.93	-8.42	62.93	-2.42
Average	63.80	54.54	-9.25	54.12	-9.67	57.23	-6.57	61.93	-1.86
<i>Percent of turned-out voters who are Black</i>									
Voter Turnout 2020	45.56	36.40	-9.16	36.03	-9.53	39.51	-6.05	42.88	-2.68
Voter Turnout 2018	51.67	41.53	-10.14	41.09	-10.58	44.44	-7.23	47.81	-3.86
Voter Turnout 2016	49.02	38.36	-10.66	37.95	-11.07	41.58	-7.44	45.86	-3.16
Voter Turnout 2014	58.35	46.55	-11.80	46.05	-12.30	49.27	-9.08	53.65	-4.70
Voter Turnout 2012	54.80	42.28	-12.52	41.81	-12.99	45.43	-9.37	50.60	-4.20
Average	51.88	41.02	-10.86	40.59	-11.29	44.05	-7.83	48.16	-3.72

WALKER ILLUSTRATIVE
MAPS
P147

P147 [Walker Rep., Table 4]

Black-Preferred Candidate Continued to Win in Alternative Maps for CD 24 for All General Elections Analyzed

Table 5. General Election Returns for CD24

Year/Contest	Candidate	FLCD24	Illus. B1	B1 Diff	Illus. B2	B2 Diff	Illus. ACD	ACD Diff	CD24 Enacted	Enact Diff
2020 President	Biden (D)	75.42	68.53	-6.89	68.41	-7.01	71.11	-5.69	74.17	-1.25
	Trump (R)	23.99	30.97	6.98	31.09	7.10	28.39	4.40	25.27	1.28
2018 Governor	DeSantis (R)	15.62	23.05	7.43	23.22	7.60	20.94	5.32	17.81	2.19
	Gillum (D)	83.56	76.19	-7.37	76.02	-7.54	78.33	-5.23	81.45	-2.11
2018 Atty. Gen.	Moody (R)	16.57	23.51	6.94	23.67	7.10	21.48	4.91	18.39	1.82
	Shaw (D)	81.90	74.94	-6.96	74.78	-7.12	77.00	-4.90	80.07	-1.83
2018 CFO	Patronis (R)	16.63	23.66	7.03	23.83	7.20	21.59	4.96	18.45	1.82
	Ring (D)	83.36	76.33	-7.03	76.17	-7.19	78.40	-4.96	81.54	-1.82
2018 Comm. Ag.	Caldwell (R)	16.37	23.18	6.81	23.34	6.97	21.15	4.78	18.00	1.63
	Fried (D)	83.62	76.81	-6.81	76.66	-6.96	78.86	-4.76	82.01	-1.61
2016 US Senate	Scott (R)	16.72	23.65	6.93	23.78	7.06	21.55	4.83	18.62	1.90
	Nelson (D)	83.28	76.32	-6.96	76.18	-7.10	78.42	-4.86	81.35	-1.93
2016 President	Trump (R)	15.40	22.29	6.89	22.45	7.05	20.55	5.15	17.28	1.88
	Clinton (D)	82.87	75.98	-6.89	75.81	-7.06	77.76	-5.11	81.04	-1.83
2016 US Senate	Rubio (R)	20.17	27.46	7.29	27.63	7.46	25.26	5.09	21.98	1.81
	Murphy (D)	77.66	70.64	-7.02	70.46	-7.20	72.80	-4.86	75.92	-1.74
2014 Governor	Scott (R)	14.18	21.10	6.92	21.27	7.09	19.21	5.03	16.16	1.98
	Crist (D)	84.04	77.17	-6.87	77.00	-7.04	79.13	-4.91	82.21	-1.83
2014 Atty. Gen.	Bondi (R)	17.05	24.33	7.28	24.57	7.52	22.30	5.25	18.73	1.68
	Sheldon (D)	81.40	74.25	-7.15	74.01	-7.39	76.30	-5.10	79.77	-1.63
2014 CFO	Atwater (R)	18.43	25.83	7.40	26.11	7.68	23.95	5.52	20.90	2.47
	Rankin (D)	81.52	74.19	-7.33	73.91	-7.61	76.05	-5.47	79.05	-2.47
2014 Comm. Ag.	Putnam (R)	18.01	25.35	7.34	25.59	7.58	23.41	5.40	20.16	2.15
	Hamilton (D)	81.89	74.63	-7.26	74.40	-7.49	76.56	-5.33	79.76	-2.13
2012 President	Romney (R)	13.81	22.23	8.42	22.46	8.65	20.29	6.48	16.78	2.97
	Obama (D)	85.77	77.41	-8.36	77.18	-8.59	79.35	-6.42	82.81	-2.96
2012 US Senate	Mack (R)	13.23	20.14	6.91	20.35	7.12	18.43	5.20	15.49	2.26
	Nelson (D)	85.62	78.67	-6.95	78.47	-7.15	80.44	-5.18	83.46	-2.16

PLANTIFF'S EXHIBIT
P148

Table 5. General Election Returns for CD24

Year/Contest	Candidate	FLCD24	Illus. B1	B1 Diff	Illus. B2	B2 Diff	Illus. ACD	ACD Diff	CD24 Enacted	Enact Diff
2020 President	Biden (D)	75.42	68.53	-6.89	68.41	-7.01	71.11	-4.31	74.17	-1.25
	Trump (R)	23.99	30.97	6.98	31.09	7.10	28.39	4.40	25.27	1.28
2018 Governor	DeSantis (R)	15.62	23.05	7.43	23.22	7.60	20.94	5.32	17.81	2.19
	Gillum (D)	83.56	76.19	-7.37	76.02	-7.54	78.33	-5.23	81.45	-2.11
2018 Atty. Gen.	Moody (R)	16.57	23.51	6.94	23.67	7.10	21.48	4.91	18.39	1.82
	Shaw (D)	81.90	74.94	-6.96	74.78	-7.12	77.00	-4.90	80.07	-1.83
2018 CFO	Patronis (R)	16.63	23.66	7.03	23.83	7.20	21.59	4.96	18.45	1.82
	Ring (D)	83.36	76.33	-7.03	76.17	-7.19	78.40	-4.96	81.54	-1.82
2018 Comm. Ag.	Caldwell (R)	16.37	23.18	6.81	23.34	6.97	21.15	4.78	18.00	1.63
	Fried (D)	83.62	76.81	-6.81	76.66	-6.96	78.86	-4.76	82.01	-1.61
2016 US Senate	Scott (R)	16.72	23.65	6.93	23.78	7.06	21.55	4.83	18.62	1.90
	Nelson (D)	83.28	76.32	-6.96	76.18	-7.10	78.42	-4.86	81.35	-1.93
2016 President	Trump (R)	15.40	22.29	6.89	22.45	7.05	20.55	5.15	17.28	1.88
	Clinton (D)	82.87	75.98	-6.89	75.81	-7.06	77.76	-5.11	81.04	-1.83
2016 US Senate	Rubio (R)	20.17	27.46	7.29	27.63	7.46	25.26	5.09	21.98	1.81
	Murphy (D)	77.66	70.64	-7.02	70.46	-7.20	72.80	-4.86	75.92	-1.74
2014 Governor	Scott (R)	14.18	21.10	6.92	21.27	7.09	19.21	5.03	16.16	1.98
	Crist (D)	84.04	77.17	-6.87	77.00	-7.04	79.13	-4.91	82.21	-1.83
2014 Atty. Gen.	Bondi (R)	17.05	24.33	7.28	24.57	7.52	22.30	5.25	18.73	1.68
	Sheldon (D)	81.40	74.25	-7.15	74.01	-7.39	76.30	-5.10	79.77	-1.63
2014 CFO	Atwater (R)	18.43	25.83	7.40	26.11	7.68	23.95	5.52	20.90	2.47
	Rankin (D)	81.52	74.19	-7.33	73.91	-7.61	76.05	-5.47	79.05	-2.47
2014 Comm. Ag.	Putnam (R)	18.01	25.35	7.34	25.59	7.58	23.41	5.40	20.16	2.15
	Hamilton (D)	81.89	74.63	-7.26	74.40	-7.49	76.56	-5.33	79.76	-2.13
2012 President	Romney (R)	13.81	22.23	8.42	22.46	8.65	20.29	6.48	16.78	2.97
	Obama (D)	85.77	77.41	-8.36	77.18	-8.59	79.35	-6.42	82.81	-2.96
2012 US Senate	Mack (R)	13.23	20.14	6.91	20.35	7.12	18.43	5.20	15.49	2.26
	Nelson (D)	85.62	78.67	-6.95	78.47	-7.15	80.44	-5.18	83.46	-2.16

P148 [Walker Rep., Table 5]

Walker Illustrative Aids

Black-Preferred Candidate Continued to Win in Alternative Maps for CD 24 for All Primary Elections Analyzed

Table 6. Primary Election Returns for CD24

Year/Contest	Candidate	FLCD24	Illus. B1	B1 Diff	Illus. B2	B2 Diff	Illus. ACD	ACD Diff	CD24 Enacted	Enact Diff
2018 Governor	Gillum	53.10	50.90	-2.20	50.79	-2.31	51.27	-1.83	50.59	-2.51
	Graham	10.62	11.54	0.92	11.65	1.03	11.37	0.75	10.92	0.30
2018 Atty Gen.	Torrens	17.80	18.12	0.32	18.08	0.28	17.77	-0.03	17.75	-0.05
	Shaw	82.06	81.83	-0.23	81.85	-0.21	82.19	0.13	82.10	0.04
2018 Comm. Ag.	Fried	57.45	61.96	4.51	62.12	4.67	61.65	4.20	59.09	1.64
	Walker	23.58	21.62	-1.96	21.63	-1.95	21.90	-1.68	23.46	-0.12
2016 US Senate	Keith	13.07	12.05	-1.02	12.10	-0.97	12.32	-0.75	13.33	0.26
	Murphy	66.72	67.77	1.05	67.83	1.11	68.20	1.48	66.95	0.23
2014 Governor	Crist	84.81	84.07	-0.74	83.81	-1.00	84.04	-0.77	84.25	-0.56
	Rich	14.33	15.01	0.68	15.28	0.95	15.16	0.83	15.11	0.78
2014 Atty. Gen.	Sheldon	44.04	47.45	3.41	47.55	3.51	47.19	3.15	46.51	2.47
	Thurston	55.75	52.17	-3.58	52.07	-3.68	52.66	-3.09	53.19	-2.56
2012 US Senate	Burkett	14.19	13.57	-0.62	13.49	-0.70	13.46	-0.73	13.71	-0.48
	Nelson	85.13	85.56	0.43	85.68	0.55	85.78	0.65	85.70	0.57

P149 [Walker Rep., Table 6]

Black Voters Maintain their Ability To Elect their Candidate of Choice Under Any of the Alternative Maps

Summary of Functional Analysis: Electoral Success of Black Preferred Candidate Under Illustrative Maps			
Congressional District 20			
<i>Illustrative Plan:</i>	<i>B1</i>	<i>AB2</i>	<i>CD</i>
General Elections	14/14 (100%)	14/14 (100%)	14/14 (100%)
Primary Elections	7/7 (100%)	7/7 (100%)	7/7 (100%)
Congressional District 24			
<i>Illustrative Plan:</i>	<i>B1</i>	<i>B2</i>	<i>ACD</i>
General Elections	14/14 (100%)	14/14 (100%)	14/14 (100%)
Primary Elections	7/7 (100%)	7/7 (100%)	7/7 (100%)

P145, P146, P148, P149

Rebuttal Analysis

Dr. Trende's Analysis is Not Informative of Hispanic Vote Cohesion

What did Dr. Trende opine?

Trende opines that Hispanics in the Miami-Dade area have become more politically cohesive since 2020 by examining the count of Hispanics registered as Republicans or Democrats between Election Day 2020 and April 2025

Dr. Trende's analysis is not informative of Hispanic vote cohesion because:

- Dr. Trende deviated from the standard approach of analyzing vote cohesion (i.e. ecological inference) and did not analyze actual vote returns
- Dr. Trende omitted over 300,000 Hispanic voters in every month analyzed who are (1) registered with no party, (2) registered with a third party, or (3) are inactive but eligible to vote thereby inflating the percentage of Hispanics registered as Republican

Dr. Trende Omitted Over 300,000 Hispanic Voters In Every Month Analyzed

Appendix A

Table A1. Total Number of Registered Hispanic Voters by Party, 2020-2025.

Date	Raw Rep.	% Rep.	Raw Dem.	% Dem.	Raw No Party	% No Party	Raw Other	% Other	Raw Rep. Over Dem.	% Adv., All Reg. Voters (Walker)	% Adv., 2 Party (Trende)	Percentage Point Overestimate (Trende - Walker)	Magnitude Overestimate (Trende/Walker)
20-Nov	324,495	35.1	276,716	29.9	310,346	33.6	13,235	1.4	47,779	5.2	7.9	2.7	1.5
20-Dec	324,137	35.1	276,509	29.9	310,602	33.6	13,366	1.4	47,628	5.2	7.9	2.7	1.5
21-Jan	322,408	34.9	276,226	29.9	311,610	33.7	13,800	1.5	46,182	5.0	7.7	2.7	1.5
21-Feb	320,612	34.9	274,450	29.8	310,579	33.8	13,849	1.5	46,162	5.1	7.8	2.7	1.5
21-Mar	320,358	34.8	274,296	29.8	311,222	33.8	13,932	1.5	46,062	5.0	7.7	2.7	1.5
21-Apr	320,103	34.8	273,980	29.8	311,711	33.9	14,033	1.5	46,123	5.0	7.8	2.8	1.6
21-May	318,923	34.8	272,760	29.7	311,129	33.9	14,068	1.5	46,163	5.1	7.8	2.7	1.5
21-Jun	318,573	34.7	272,444	29.7	311,571	34.0	14,177	1.5	46,129	5.0	7.8	2.8	1.6
21-Jul	318,559	34.8	270,915	29.6	311,032	34.0	14,178	1.6	47,644	5.2	8.1	2.9	1.6
21-Aug	320,074	35.0	270,132	29.5	311,073	34.0	14,244	1.6	49,942	5.5	8.5	3.0	1.5
21-Sep	318,339	35.3	263,456	29.2	305,177	33.9	14,191	1.6	54,883	6.1	9.4	3.3	1.5
21-Oct	319,945	35.4	263,256	29.1	305,630	33.8	14,308	1.6	56,689	6.3	9.7	3.4	1.5
21-Nov	321,446	35.6	262,066	29.0	305,292	33.8	14,367	1.6	59,380	6.6	10.2	3.6	1.5

Trende consistently overestimated the Republican advantage over Democrats by 150–160%

P166 [Walker Rebuttal Rep., Table A1]

Including Omitted Hispanic Voters Reduces Dr. Trende's Claimed Republican Advantage

Figure 3. Partisan Composition of Registered Hispanic Voters, April 2025




Table 1. Republican Advantage among Hispanic Registered Voters, April 2025

Party	Active Voters, Two Parties	All Voters, All Parties
Republican	62.6	39.4
Democratic	37.4	24.5
No Party	NA	33.9
Other Party	NA	2.2
Republican Advantage	25.2	14.9



P165, P164 [Walker Rebuttal Rep., Figure 3, Table 1]